



## ZEISS SITA Faster and 24-2C

Visual field testing strategies and protocols



Compendium of peer-reviewed journal articles

October 2022



Seeing beyond



## Table of Contents

Click on topic to jump to that section.

---

**SITA Faster** 3

---

**24-2C** 4

---

**ARVO Posters and Presentations** 5

---

SITA Faster and 24-2C clinical compendium 2

# SITA Faster

1. Heijl A, Patella VM, Chong LX, Iwase A, Leung CK, Tuulonen A, Lee GC, Callan T, Bengtsson B. **A New SITA Perimetric Threshold Testing Algorithm: Construction and a Multicenter Clinical Study.** *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2019;198:154 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30336129>
2. Rodriguez-Agirretxe, I, Loizate, E, Astorkiza, B, Onaindia, A, Galdos-Olasagasti, L, Basasoro, A. **Validation of the Sita Faster Strategy for the Management of Glaucoma.** *Int Ophthalmol.* 2022 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35072855>; <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s10792-022-02232-6.pdf>
3. Heijl A, Patella VM, Flanagan JG, Iwase A, Leung CK, Tuulonen A, Lee GC, Callan T, Bengtsson B. **False Positive Responses in Standard Automated Perimetry.** *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2022;233:180 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34283973>
4. Phu, J, Kalloniatis, M. **The Frontloading Fields Study: The Impact of False Positives and Seeding Point Errors on Visual Field Reliability When Using Sita-Faster.** *Transl Vis Sci Technol.* 2022;11(2):20 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35142783>; <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8842500/pdf/tvst-11-2-20.pdf>
5. Qian CX, Chen Q, Cun Q, Tao YJ, Yang WY, Yang Y, Hu ZY, Zhu YT, Zhong, H. **Comparison of the SITA Faster – a New Visual Field Strategy with SITA Fast Strategy.** *Int J Ophthalmol.* 2021;14(8):1185 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34414082>
6. Phu J, Kalloniatis M. **Viability of Performing Multiple 24-2 Visual Field Examinations at the Same Clinical Visit: The Frontloading Fields Study (FFS).** *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2021;230:48 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33951444>
7. Phu J, Kalloniatis M. **The Frontloading Fields Study (FFS): Detecting Changes in Mean Deviation in Glaucoma Using Multiple Visual Field Tests Per Clinical Visit.** *Transl Vis Sci Technol.* 2021;10(13):21 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34779836>
8. Phu J, Kalloniatis M. **Patient and Technician Perspectives Following the Introduction of Frontloaded Visual Field Testing in Glaucoma Assessment.** *Clin Exp Optom.* 2021;1 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34402753>
9. Phu, J, Kalloniatis, M. **Gaze Tracker Parameters Have Little Association with Visual Field Metrics of Intrasession Frontloaded SITA-Faster 24–2 Visual Field Results.** *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt.* 2022; 00: 1– 13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/opo.13006>
10. Phu J, Kalloniatis M. **A Strategy for Seeding Point Error Assessment for Retesting (Spear) in Perimetry Applied to Normal Subjects, Glaucoma Suspects, and Patients with Glaucoma.** *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2021;221:115 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32777379>
11. Pham AT, Ramulu PY, Boland MV, Yohannan J. **The Effect of Transitioning from SITA Standard to SITA Faster on Visual Field Performance.** *Ophthalmology.* 2021;128(10):1417 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33798655>
12. Thulasidas M, Patyal S. **Comparison of 24-2 Faster, Fast, and Standard Programs of Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm of Humphrey Field Analyzer for Perimetry in Patients with Manifest and Suspect Glaucoma.** *J Glaucoma.* 2020;29(11):1070 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32890104>
13. Thulasidas M, Patyal S. **Re: Pham Et Al.: The Effect of Transitioning from Sita Standard to SITA Faster on Visual Field Performance (Ophthalmology.** 2021;128;1417-1425). *Ophthalmology.* 2021;128(11):e215 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34380596>
14. Mendieta N, Suarez J, Blasco C, Muniz R, Pueyo C. **A Comparative Study between Swedish Interactive Thresholding Algorithm Faster and Swedish Interactive Thresholding Algorithm Standard in Glaucoma Patients.** *J Curr Ophthalmol.* 2021;33(3):247 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34765810>; <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8579789/pdf/JCO-33-247.pdf>

15. Lavanya R, Riyazuddin M, Dasari S, Puttaiah NK, Venugopal JP, Pradhan ZS, Devi S, Sreenivasaiah S, Ganeshrao SB, Rao HL. **A Comparison of the Visual Field Parameters of SITA Faster and SITA Standard Strategies in Glaucoma.** *J Glaucoma*. 2020;29(9):783 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32459685>
16. Prager AJ, Kang JM, Tanna AP. **Advances in Perimetry for Glaucoma.** *Curr Opin Ophthalmol*. 2021;32(2):92 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33443958>
17. Le CT, Fiksel J, Ramulu P, Yohannan J. **Differences in visual field loss pattern when transitioning from SITA standard to SITA faster.** *Sci Rep*. 2022 Apr 29;12(1):7001. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-11044-8. PMID: 35488026; PMCID: PMC9054761. <https://rdcu.be/cP76x>
18. Costa VP, Zangalli CS, Jammal AA, Medeiros FA, Miyazaki JV, Perez V, Nardi Boscaroli ML, Schimiti RB. **24-2 SITA Standard versus 24-2 SITA Faster in Perimetry-Naïve Normal Subjects.** *Ophthalmol Glaucoma*. 2022 Aug 16:S2589-4196(22)00156-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ogla.2022.08.006. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 35985477. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2589419622001569?via%3Dihub>

## 24-2C

1. Meshkin, Ryan S. MD; Zhao, Yan MS; Elze, Tobias PhD; Boland, Michael V. MD, PhD; Friedman, David S. MD, MPH, PhD. **Remote Video Monitoring of Simultaneous Visual Field Testing.** *Journal of Glaucoma*: July 2022 - Volume 31 - Issue 7 - p 488-493. doi: 10.1097/IJG.0000000000002045 [https://journals.lww.com/glaucomajournal/Abstract/2022/07000/Remote\\_Video\\_Monitoring\\_of\\_Simultaneous\\_Visual.2.aspx](https://journals.lww.com/glaucomajournal/Abstract/2022/07000/Remote_Video_Monitoring_of_Simultaneous_Visual.2.aspx)
2. Chakravarti T, Moghadam M, Proudfoot JA, Weinreb RN, Bowd C, Zangwill LM. **Agreement between 10-2 and 24-2c Visual Field Test Protocols for Detecting Glaucomatous Central Visual Field Defects.** *J Glaucoma*. 2021;30(6):e285 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33813563>
3. Hong JW, Baek MS, Lee JY, Song MK, Shin JW, Kook MS. **Comparison of the 24-2 and 24-2c Visual Field Grids in Determining the Macular Structure-Function Relationship in Glaucoma.** *J Glaucoma*. 2021;30(10):887 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34387259>
4. Phu J, Kalloniatis M. **Comparison of 10-2 and 24-2c Test Grids for Identifying Central Visual Field Defects in Glaucoma and Suspect Patients.** *Ophthalmology*. 2021;128(10):1405 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33722636>
5. Phu J, Kalloniatis M. **Ability of 24-2c and 24-2 Grids to Identify Central Visual Field Defects and Structure-Function Concordance in Glaucoma and Suspects.** *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2020;219:317 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32621896>
6. Yamane MLM, Odel JG. **Introducing the 24-2c Visual Field Test in Neuro-Ophthalmology.** *J Neuroophthalmol*. 2021;41(4):e606 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33417411>

# ARVO Posters and Presentations

1. Kresch YS, Tsamis E, Liebmann JM, De Moraes CG, Hood DC. **A Comparison of the 24-2, 24-2C and 10-2 Test Locations to the Region of Arcuate Defects Seen on OCT Retinal Ganglion Cell Probability Maps.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2020;61(7):1975 <https://iovs.arvojournals.org/article.aspx?articleid=2769699>
2. Su, S, Callan, T, Yu, S, Graves, N, Wu, C, Falkenstein, IA, Severin, T, Lee, GC. **Comparison of 24-2C SITA Standard and 24-2C SITA Faster.** *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 2022;63(7):1267 – A0407.
3. Carpenter, A, Callan, T, Yu, S, Graves, N, Wu, C, Falkenstein, IA, Severin, T, Lee, GC. **Diagnostic efficacy of 24-2C SITA Standard global summary indices.** *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 2022;63(7):1264 – A0404.
4. Harrison, L, Chen, A, Chen, PP, Luong, P. **Pointwise Comparison of the 24-2C and 10-2 for Central Field Defects.** *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 2022;63(7):1251 – A0391.
5. Lee, GC, Cunningham, B, Chong, L, Flanagan, JG, Severin, T, Falkenstein, IA, Callan, T. **Perimetric simulations of 24-2C SITA Standard visual fields.** *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 2022;63(7):1255 – A0395.
6. Chakravarti T, Moghadam M, OH WH, Bowd C, Proudfoot J, Weinreb RN, Zangwill L. **Agreement between Structural and Functional Tests for Detecting Central Glaucomatous Defects.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2020;61(9):PB0098 <https://iovs.arvojournals.org/article.aspx?articleid=2770475>
7. Callan, T Lee GC, Yu S, Graves N, Wu C, Durbin MK, Falkenstein I, Severin T. **Evaluation of the SITA Standard 24-2C Visual Field Test.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2020;61(7):3876 <https://iovs.arvojournals.org/article.aspx?articleid=2769130>
8. Callan T, Yu S, Lee GC, Covita A, Severin T. **Evaluation of the SITA Faster 24-2C Visual Field Test.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2018;59(9):5111 <https://iovs.arvojournals.org/article.aspx?articleid=2693555>
9. Lee GC, Callan T, Yu S, Durbin MK, Graves N, Wu C, Falkenstein I, Severin T. **Comparison of 24-2C SITA Standard Test Times to Legacy SITA Tests.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2020;61(7):3879
10. Lee GC, Yu S, Callan T, Durbin MK, Covita A, Severin T. **Diagnostic Efficacy of 24-2 and 24-2C SITA Faster Global Summary Indices.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2019;60(9):2455 <https://iovs.arvojournals.org/article.aspx?articleid=2769355>
11. Lee GC, Monhart M, Callan T, Cunningham B, Yu S, Durbin MK, Bengtsson B, Iwase A, Flanagan JG, Heijl A. **Performance of a Modified 24-2 Test Pattern Using SITA Faster.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2018;59(9):6032 <https://iovs.arvojournals.org/article.aspx?articleid=2693900>
12. Anderson G, Graves N. **Effects of Response Style on SITA Standard 24-2C and SITA Faster 24-2C Visual Field Tests.** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2020;61(7):3885 <https://iovs.arvojournals.org/article.aspx?articleid=2768291>



**Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.**

5300 Central Parkway  
Dublin, CA 94568  
USA  
[www.zeiss.com](http://www.zeiss.com)  
[www.zeiss.com/med/contacts](http://www.zeiss.com/med/contacts)



**Carl Zeiss Meditec AG**

Goeschwitzer Strasse 51–52  
07745 Jena  
Germany  
[www.zeiss.com](http://www.zeiss.com)  
[www.zeiss.com/med/contacts](http://www.zeiss.com/med/contacts)

**en-INT\_31\_200\_0244III** Printed in the United States. CZ-XIII/2022 International edition: Only for sale in selected countries. The contents of the compendium may differ from the current status of approval of the product or service offering in your country. Please contact our regional representatives for more information. Subject to changes in design and scope of delivery and as a result of ongoing technical development. PLEX Elite is either a trademark or registered trademark of Carl Zeiss Meditec AG or other companies of the ZEISS Group in Germany and /or other countries.  
© Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., 2022. All rights reserved.