A novel approach for remote monitoring of European exudative AMD patients using macular thickness maps

Ian Raden, OD, MBA¹; Emilie Jomaa, MD², Mahsa Darvishzadeh Varcheie, PhD¹; Diane Levesque, MD²; Jean-Francois Korobelnik, MD, PhD^{2,3} ¹Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA, USA; ²Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, Aquitaine, France; ³INSERM UMR1219, France

PURPOSE

- OCT has been widely accepted as the gold standard for retinal structural visualization, but analysis of entire OCT volumes can be time-consuming and difficult to integrate in a telehealth workflow.
- In this retrospective study, we compared the ability to detect changes using macular thickness maps all the information contained within the OCT scans. (MTMs) alone with the ability to detect changes using the entire OCT review station, to determine if • For the 257 images, the majority recommended clinical assessment was warranted in 61 cases. MTMs alone could accurately predict recurrent exudation in European patients with exudative age-When comparing the majority agreement on the MTM exercise with the ground truth, there was related macular degeneration (eAMD). 83.27% agreement.

METHODS

- station was 79.77%. Retrospective data from 44 eyes of 31 European patients with eAMD imaged using CIRRUS[™] HD-• The Fleiss Kappa was highest when using thickness maps alone, and both exercises resulted in a OCT 5000 (ZEISS, Dublin, CA) over 7 consecutive visits (a period of \geq 2 years), were analyzed. substantial level of agreement.
- Patients in this study were placed under a treat-and-extend protocol and imaged using a macula centered 6x6 mm (512x128 pixels) OCT scan.
- Three graders (retina specialists) reviewed two consecutive images that were assumed to be acquired at the patients' home.
- Graders were asked to determine if there were changes that warranted a full clinical assessment ulletafter viewing two consecutive scans using one of the two data sets: MTMs alone (Figure 1) or using the entire CIRRUS review station to evaluate all the information contained in the OCT scans.



Figure 1. Examples of consecutive MTMs: a) Clinical assessment needed; b) Continue remote monitoring

Email: ian.raden@zeiss.com

Disclosures: IR (E), EJ (N), MDV (E), DL (N), JFK (C): Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc. JFK (C): Abbvie, Apellis, Bayer, Jannsen, NanoRetina, Roche, Thea (S): Alexion, Novonordisk

RESULTS



- A total of 257 pairs of images were reviewed by each grader for each exercise.
- The consensus ground truth was reached by the graders using a CIRRUS review station to evaluate
- The inter-grader agreement between all 3 graders when viewing macular thickness maps alone was 81.32% and the inter-grader agreement between all 3 graders when viewing the entire review

Scenario	3 graders – Thickness Maps	3 graders
# of scan pairs	257	257
# of matched scans	209	205
Agreement %	81.32	79.77
95% Confidence Level	(76.01, 85.90)	(74.33, 8
Fleiss' Kappa	0.71	0.64

Table 1. Inter-grader agreement using MTMs alone compared with the CIRRUS review station.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrates that in European eAMD patients, MTMs alone may be a useful tool in determining recall if monitoring patients remotely.





Poster #3419-B0187

- CIRRUS Review Station 34.50)